

DELEGATE DULANY: That is correct. If you will notice in my original amendment I mentioned spouse rather than wife because under some circumstances there can be a very wealthy member of the distaff side of the family who has a dependent husband to support.

THE PRESIDENT: As I understand the use of the word "dependent" it was not used in any legal sense but in its ordinary normal English sense.

Delegate Singer?

DELEGATE SINGER: Mr. President, I have a question of Delegate Dulany.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Dulany, will you take the floor to yield to a question?

DELEGATE DULANY: Yes.

DELEGATE SINGER: In an instance where the wife remarried, the children of the first marriage being entirely supported by the second husband, would this relieve the first husband of all duty to support those children, or could it be enforced?

DELEGATE DULANY: As I understand the law, the first husband is never relieved of the duty of support unless the second husband adopts those children.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair suggests that the discussion is far afield on this point, and perhaps because you do not have the printed amendment.

What you are talking about here is an obligation for support created in one of two ways—either by decree or alimony. You are not dependent upon the law generally to define the obligation of support.

Delegate Singer?

DELEGATE SINGER: My confusion arises as to whether or not this amendment changes the existing law.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think there would be confusion if the amendment were before you. I suggest we pass it and come back to it, if we can have it printed right away.

As the Chair understands the language, it would not have the effect thought of.

DELEGATE GILL: A point of personal privilege.

THE PRESIDENT: State the privilege.

DELEGATE GILL: Mr. President and fellow delegates, I would like for the con-

vention to join in congratulating one of our delegates for an award which he received Wednesday. He received this award, along with four other people—Gen. George Gelston, Father Joseph Connally, The Rev. Mr. Frank O. Wilson, and Judge George Russell.

It was from the newspaper *Afro-American*, and it was their diamond jubilee honor roll award. The delegate is Dr. Harry Bard.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Burgess.

DELEGATE BURGESS: Personal privilege.

THE PRESIDENT: State the privilege.

DELEGATE BURGESS: I would like to announce the presence of the sister of Walter Finch, Mrs. Jane Twamley, one of Mr. Finch's seven sisters, a school teacher in Southern High School in Baltimore, Maryland.

(Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: We will pass over Amendment No. 9 for the moment. We will have a copy of the section as it would appear for you very quickly.

Delegate Kiefer, do you wish to offer your Amendment R to section 1.13?

Delegate Willoner.

DELEGATE WILLONER: Yes, that is an amendment primarily of style on which I think we will get pretty much unanimous consent.

THE PRESIDENT: All right, will the pages please distribute Amendment R, "R" for Roger.

We have a problem in that we are fast running out of roll call sheets. The man is here to insert them. We have to shut down when that is done. The Chair has advised him he can have custody of the machine at 6:00 P.M. promptly. We will have to recess at that hour.

This will be Amendment No. 10. The Clerk will read the amendment.

READING CLERK: Amendment No. 10 to Committee Recommendations No. R&P-1 and R&P-2, as amended by Report S&D-9, by Delegates Kiefer, Willoner, Johnson, Penniman and Marion.

On page 4, section 1.13, Jury Trial in Civil Cases, in line 10 strike out the words "not less than"; and in line 12 after the word "six" add the words "or more than twelve".